HEAD INJURIES: HOW TO PROTECT WHAT SNELL CONFERENCE ON HIC MAY 6, 2005

THOMAS A. GENNARELLI, M.D. PROFESSOR AND CHAIR DEPARTMENT OF NEUROSURGERY MEDICAL COLLEGE OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN, USA



### **INJURY**

The result of the application of mechanical energy above the ability of the tissue to withstand it without anatomical or physiological alteration.



BRAIN INJURY IS NOT UNIDIMENSIONALI **DIFFERENT CAUSES** DIFFERENT MECHANISMS DIFFERENT TYPES DIFFERENT AMOUNTS DIFFERENT LOCATIONS DIFFERENT PATHOPHYSIOLOGY **DIFFERENT TREATMENTS** 

SO IS ONE TOLERANCE REASONABLE?????



### What are we trying to prevent?

# Which TBI are "acceptible?" Which TBI are unacceptibl;e? Are these the same for all circumstances?

Given the advances in the last 50 years. Don't we have to lower the bar and prevent more TBI?



### Mortality of severe TBI



- Uniform injury, descriptors; improved care; trauma care, systems,
- GCS: Teasdale, ,Jennett 1974
- Widespread adoption of GCS, Langfitt, Gennard 1982



### **Importance of Biomechanics**

#### Vehicular Head Injuries

- Shift of TBI type
- Shift of TBL severity
- Reduction of mortality
- Potential of virtual elimination of severe TBL in certain situations.







### Number of Vehicles with Airbags



![](_page_6_Picture_2.jpeg)

Future of TBI The chances of getting an AIS 4-6 head injury when estrained with seat belt and airbag are very small n a frontal crash .. 0.14%. So if all 1.5M frontal occupants had SB+AB: **91.5** \*0.14% =2100/yr = 1 per hospital per year

IF A SERIOUS HEAD INJURY
 OCCURS, IT WILL BE AT FAR HIGHER
 CRASH SPEEDS THAN WITH OTHER
 RESTRAINT SYSTEMS.

Serious Head Injuries (AIS 4-6)

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Minor TBI will be more important

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

SO, DO WE NEED TO THINK ABOUT PREVENTING MTBI?

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

TYPES OF HEAD INJURY SCALP LACERATIONS SKULL FRACTURES FOCAL BRAIN INJURIES CONTUSION, LACERATION HEMORRHAGE: EDH, SAH, SDH, CH DIFFUSE BRAIN INJURIES CONCUSSION SYNDROMES DIFFUSE AXONAL INJURY PENETRATING INJURIES BLAST-EXPLOSIVE INJURIES

ME

OF WIS

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Mechanisms of the Head Injuries Contact Head Motion Injurie Injuries **Contre Coup** Skull Fracture Contusion Subdural Hematoma Hematoma Concussion Coup Diffuse Axonal Injur Contusion

**Penetrating Inj.** 

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

### HEAD CONTACT INJURIES MOTION NOT REQUIRED; DIRECT BLOW NECESSARY

Skull Bending Skull Fracture **Coup Contusion** Skull Volume Changes Contre Coup Contusion Shock Waves Intracerebral Hemorrhage Penetrating (Missile) injury

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

# SURFACE STRAINS SUBDURAL HEMATOMA CONTRE COUP CONTUSION DEEP STRAINS CONCUSSION SYNDROMES DIFFUSE AXONAL INJURY

**<u>HEAD MOTION INJURIES</u> otion required: direct blow not necessary** 

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

### WHEN, YOU, BREAK, THE SKULL, THE BRAIN, MAY REMAIN, INTACT,

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Isolated HI Lesions**

Lesion	n	% single
CSDH	24	70.8
Concussion	199	26.6
DAI - sev	17	23.5
DAI mod	57	22.8
Ped Swelling	28	17.9
ICH	33	9.1
Scalp	144	6.9
ASDH	67	3.0
Fx Vault	128	1.6
Contusion	135	1.5

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **INCIDENCE OF INJURIES**

	OCCUPANT	PEDESTRIAN	NON-VEHICULA
SKULL FRACTURE			
VAULT	25	40	39
BASILAR	21	18	12
DIFFUSE INJURY			
CONCUSSION	43	49	45
MODERATE DAI	22	50	2
SEVERE DAI	13	1	1
FOCAL INJURY			
CONTUSION	33	25	32
ALL SDH	16	8	18
SDH main injury	4	5	9
EDH	4	22	8
ICH	3		12

### Skull Fracture Incidence Percent

	<b>Occupants</b>	Pedestrians	Non <del>.</del> Vehicular
Concussion	29)	52	50)
Moderate DAI	46	32	50)
Severe DAL	30)	50)	0
SDH	45	7/5;	52
Contusion	53	60	583

DEPARTMENT OF NEUROSURGERY

OF WIS

### **Diffuse Brain Injury Categories**

bbreviation	Adjective	AIS	Ommaya Gennarelli Concussion Grade <sup>1</sup>	LOC
C	Mild Concussion	1	1-3	0
С	Classical Concussion	2	4	<1hr
С	Severe Concussion	3	4	1-6 hr
ild DAI	Mild DAI	4	5	6-24 h
od DAI	Moderate DAI	5	5	> 24 hr
ev DAI	Severe DAI	5	5	>24 hr

a = no brainstem abnormaility; b = with decerebration, decortication

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Directional Dependence of Diffuse Brain Injury Experimental Subjects with comparable acceleration input

AI GRADE	SAGITTAL	HORIZONTAL	CORONAL
0	4	0	0
1	5	1	0
2	0	9	1
3	0	0	8

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

GENNARELLI, 31ST STAPP 1987

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Inertial Tolerances

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

DEPARTMENT OF NEUROSURGERY

ME

OF WIS

### Adjectival Descriptors of Diffuse

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig 2. Results of using scaled tolerances values from Margulies to equiva adjectival descriptors (actual = Margulies values) and interpolating values mild and severe concussion (calculated)

DEPARTMENT OF NEUROSURGERY

OF WIS

### **Relation of Diffuse Brain Injury Tolerances to AIS**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig 1. Results of using scaled tolerances values from Margulies to equivalent AIS values (actual; AIS = 0, 2, 4, 5) and interpolating values for AIS values 1,3 (computed)

<b>CONCUSSION SYMPTOM INVENTORY (CSI)</b> Randolph, Barr, McCrea, Millis, Guskiewicz, Hammeke, Kelly, 2005				
Symptom	Absent	Present		
HEADACHE	0	0		
NAUSEA	0	1		
BALANCE PROBLEMS/DIZZINESS	0	1		
FATIGUE	0	1		
DROWSINESS	0	1		
FEELING LIKE "IN A FOG"	0	1		
DIFFICULTY CONCENTRATING	0	1		
DIFFICULTY REMEMBERING	0	1		
SENSITIVITY TO LIGHT	0	1		
SENSITIVITY TO NOISE	0	1		
BLURRED VISION	0	1		
FEELING SLOWED DOWN	0	1		
	ΤΟΤΑ	L		

 $\frown$ 

•

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

DEPARTMENT OF NEUROSURGERY

### Grades of Concussion

			Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3
AN	LOC		-	-	+
997	Sx		<15 min	>15 min	
antu	LOC		-	<5min	>5min
997	ΡΤΑ		<1hr	1-24hr	>24hr
:0	LOC		-	_	+
	Confu	sion	+	+	+
991	Amne	sia	_	+	+
org	LOC		-	few min	+
985	amne	sia	РТА	PTA or RGA	PTA+RGA

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Results

### Production of risk curves

Each curve represents the probability of Mild Traumatic Brain Injury being associated with a specific value of injury measure

### Results of Logistic Regression Analyses

	a <sub>m</sub>	α <sub>m</sub>	SI	HIC <sub>15</sub>	GAMBIT	HIP
Significance	0.011	0.029	0.024	0.020	0.013	0.008
P-value						
-2LLR	18.059	20.676	18.195	19.347	18.031	14.826

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Probability of MTBLE Amax

(n=24)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Probability of MTBH @max (n=24)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

DEPARTMENT OF NEUROSURGERY

MEI COL OF WIS

### Probability of MTBI: SI

Probability of Concussion as Function of SI (n=24)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

IAN IRCOBI 2000

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

IAN IRCOBI 2000

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

Probability of Concussion as Function of HIC15 (n=24)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Probability of MITBE HIP

Probability of Concussion as Function of HIP (n=24)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Tolerances for mTBE King 2003**

Predictor Variable	Threshold Values for Likelihood of MTBI			
_	<b>25%</b>	50%	75%	
A <sub>r max</sub> (m/s <sup>2</sup> )	559	778	965	
$R_{r max}$ (rad/s <sup>2</sup> )	4384	5757	7130	
HIC <sub>15</sub>	136	235	333	
Emax	0.25	0.37	0.49	
$d\varepsilon/dt_{max}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	46	60	<b>79</b>	
$\varepsilon \bullet d\varepsilon/dt_{max}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	14	20	25	

ME

OF WIS

### Kingt 2003

- At least for MTBI, the best predictor for injury is neither linear nor angular acceleration
- It is the product of strain and strain rate
- This may be controversial but it is biomechanically reasonable because brain response governs injury, not the input

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

### What are we trying to prevent?

# Which TBI are "acceptible?" Which TBI are unacceptibl;e? Are these the same for all circumstances?

Given the advances in the last 50 years. Don't we have to lower the bar and prevent more TBI?

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### TOTAL PROTECTION FROM TBI

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Cheesehead saves day, life of plane passenger

STEVENS POINT (AP) — A Green Bay Packers fan who survived a plane crash credits his yellow foam rubber cheesehead for giving him another chance to cheer on the home team.

"It was in my lap, because I was using it as pillow when I was snoozing an hour before," Frank Emmert, 36, said Tuesday. "You know when you crash in the big ones, they tell you to cover your head with a pillow."

Emmert was flying back home to Superior on Sunday after spending a week in Ohio following the Nov. 19 Packers-Browns game. His traveling companion, Baron Bryan, 25, also from Superior, was the pilot. Ice on the wings may have caused the small plane to crash near the Stevens Point Municipal Airport, Emmert said.

"We went straight down," Emmert said.

As the plane dropped, Emmert grabbed the wedge-shaped cheesehead and covered his head. Once on the ground, he discovered Bryan had suffered a head injury. Emmert kicked the door open.

"That's when I found out I had t broken ankle;" he said.

Emmert won't have to buy a new cheesenead to replace the one he used in the crash.

"The gentleman that owns the company sent cheeseheads to my family and my boys," Emmert said.